G. R. S. 58]

*Previous references.*

?. (Pro. 2074 - 83

R7. 166-92

& 2-8-01.

*Later references.*

1903-04.

( Sal. Rec. )

File No. 209 & 02

Serial Nos. 1 - 5

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1 — 5

Major **Part B for** August 03.

**SUBJECT.**

Claim of the Inamdars of certain four Villages of the Bangalore Dist. to the Toddy Revenues.

1. From The Excise Comr. No. 5662-364 & 17-11-03.
Submits with opinion the record of enquiry re the claim to toddy revenue of Jodi Giddenahally Anekal Taluk

2. From The Excise Comr. No. 5451-351 & 17-3-03.
Submits with opinion the record of enquiry re the claim to toddy revenue of Mechantalipapura Anekal Taluk

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

3. From The Excise Comr. No. 5663-365 & 17 3/03.
Submits the record of enquiry re - the

Claim to the lodge revenue of Kamasandra Village Anekal Taluk -

4. From The Excise Comr & No. 6995-4698 19/5/03

Submits with opinion the record of engiring enc. the Claim to the lodge revenue of Jodi Gavipalya Nelamangala Taluk.

5 To The Excise Comr & dt. 15-8 & 20-8-03.

Advice to The Completion of the engiring in to the respective Claims owing to irregularities in the Same

[illegible]
24-11-06.

Court S. R. file no 2097/02

Office Note.

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~
Genl Office Secretariat
File No. (if any)

*Directions.*

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Let me see in office with rules.

7/8

Exam 7/8

S. R. 2097/02

*Branch* Exam

Subject Revision Todde Cases of Jodi Siddenahalli, Hongadidde Hobli, Anekal Taluk Bangalore Dist.

Exam Circular letter no 5662/3664 dt 17th March 1903

Submitted

The enclosed report relates to the claims to Todde Revenue of Jodi Siddenahalli Hongadda Hobli, Anekal Taluk.

The investigating officer Mr B. J. Ramaswamy made records the following findings :—

(i) The claimants are entitled to 1/5th of free rent up to 98-99

(ii) They are entitled to full free rent for the succeeding years

The decision is incorrect. The first portion is apparently under Rule 4 of Sch.

Proceedings No 2074-81 dated
R. 7. 166-92
2nd August 1901, according to which
1/5th of Grove Farm Rent towards
the rent for 96-97 alone ought
to have been awarded

4. The second portion of the decision
is apparently rendered under Rules 8
and 17; but the necessary information
for such a decision was not before the
investigating officer. Hence it is
decision based on no evidence

5 The Sr case Commissioner states he concurs
with the investigating officer but
awards 1/5th of the Farm rent only under
Rule 6 and does not advise the other
point

6. The decision and the Inquiry are thus
defective. The only course open to us
is to send back the records with

a direction that a complete inquiry and
a full decision should be made the remuneration
of the records to all orders are solicited.

Dewan
4/8

Submitted

As the records made above abolishing the
following cases decided by the D Devasthanam
Boards and now pending with reference to
every one of them Dewan before the same
order as noted above, the cases are
those in also the following villages :—

(i) Jodi Gavirhalya Nelamangala Taluk
(ii) — Arekshalli Devanahalli Taluk
(iii) — Gollahalli — do
(iv) Kayampalya Manahalli
Doddanahalli } do
(v) Kumbalagi Agrahara Anekal Taluk
(vi) Hosahalya Doddaballapur Taluk
(vii) Chellanahalli DO
(viii) Kaveramma Anekal Taluk

All these cases may be remitted with an order as indicated in para b. Submit draft for approval to the Dewan through 2nd Councillor

Dewan
4/8

9/8/3 Dec 1918

Resubmitted with a draft
Dues
11/8/03

To the Dewan,
through the ...

Submitted with recommendation
Dues
11/8.

I quite agree - I am afraid
the investigating officer has
not understood the rules
properly - The enquiry in these
two of these cases is defective
and the finding not
unassailable Let all these
cases go back with
the observations suggested
above - The Superintendent
does not seem
Correct

12-8-03

Submitted Dewan
Dues
13/8.

12201
13.8.03

C. R. File no 2 of 02

& no 1

Ex 384

OFFICE OF THE
Regd No 10406
23 MAR 1903
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1

1903

FROM P. N. Coomaraya Esq B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
H. V. Nanjundayya Esq B.A. B.L.
Secy to the Govt of H. H
the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Rev Depts.

Dated 16th
Despatched 17th } March. 1903
Received

General No. 5662
No. 364
Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with opinion
the records of enquiry relating
to the Toddy Revenue of
Jodi Giddenahalli village,
Hargaddé Hobli, Sheda
Taluk

Ex
23.3.01

Excise Commissioner's Office

No 5665 Exc 1/file
" 364 Dated Bangalore 16th March 1903

From

P. N. Purnaya Esquire B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

M. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. Bar-at-Law
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Giddenahalli village, Harjaddé Habli, Sheikal taluk I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officers' findings that the Jodidars is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. The right to it has not been conceded by Sannad or Inam Settlement. Under the head "Jodidars' Revenue" recorded in the Inam Settlement Register, only Land Revenue, Pasturage

S. R. file no 209 of 02 Ex 359

& Pros 2

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
Regd. No 9885
9 MAR 1903

1903

7

FROM P. N. Krishna Rao Esq BA
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To N. V. Narsimhayya Esq M.A. Bar-at-Law
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th } March 1903.
Received

General No. 5451
No. 351
Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Kayamgutta Mahantalingapura village, Arsikal taluk.

Exm
9.8.03

No 5457/357 Cue 1 file Excise Commissioner's file

Dated the place 6th Dec 1901

7th

From

N. Narayana Iyer Esq

Excise Commissioner

To

M. V. Narjundayya Esqr B.A. B.L.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In submitting herewith for the consideration of Government the petition of Kempaiya Gowda Shanbhoga of Kallahalli, Kasaba Hobli, Nelamangala Taluk praying that the Kempaiyagowdana is entitled to Excise Revenue from the Liquor Shop at such village has not been considered as the Inam Settlement. The Kempaiyagowda is at liberty to bring his case to Government today. Authority was issued in Government Order dated 20th Sept 1901. Recently only 1/4 of the Gross Jama is payable to Government. Under Rule 6 in Government Order dated 20th Sept 1901.

20-9-87
R.F. 1664

Yours &c

N Narayana Iyer

Excise Commissioner

(1)

S. R. File no 199702
Encl 3

Ct 383

DIVISION OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE
Regd No. 10405
23 MAR. 1903
1903

9

From P. N. Kumaraya Esq Bar at
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq B.A. B.L.
Secty. to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Genral and Revenue Depts.

Dated 16th
Despatched 17th } March 1903

Received

General No. 5663
No. 365

Enclosure No. 1 file

---

Submits with opinion the records of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Kaccuvasandra Doppahan Jodi village, Kasaba Hobli, Anekal taluk

Encs
23.3.03

No 5663/365 we 1 file Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 16th/17th March 1903

From.

P. N. Krishnaya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

N. V. Narjundayya Esquire M.A. B.L.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In submitting herewith the records of enquiry relating to the Jaddy Revenue of Kammasandra Agrahar Jadi village, Kasaba Hobli, Anekal taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating officers' findings that the Jadidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from Jaddy. The right if it has not been conceded by Sanad or Inam Settlement; nor has its enjoyment been proved by the Jadidars. Under the head "Revenue of the village" in the Inam Settlement Register, only Land Revenue, Mohatarfa, Koolbanni, Dhasoji and Local Cess are shewn. Accordingly

S. R. file no 209702

Sl no 4

Ex 4990 21/5/03

OFFICE OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE. 12564. 21 MAY. 1903

1903.

From
P. N. [illegible] Esqr. B.A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr.
M.A.; B.L.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore General and Revenue
Depts.

Dated 16th May 1903

Despatched 195

Received

General No. 6995

No. 469

Enclosure No. 2 files

Subject.

Submits the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Garipalya village, Nelamangala taluk

Espsir
Ster
21-5-03

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No. 6995/469 Sue 2 files Bangalore Dated 16th March 1903

From,

P. N. Srinivasa Iyer, B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To. H. V. Nanjundaiya Esqr. M.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the li[illegible] revenue of Jodi Gavipaliya village, Nelamangala Taluk. I have th honor to state that I concur in the opinions of the investigating officer and Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise re Revenue from Toddy. The village belongs to the temple of Papasmuri Anjaneyaswami. The Inam title deed produced by the Managers of the te temple, has not conceded Excise Revenue from Toddy. There is no shop, l. f. th is a date grove in the village. The mutchalikas produced by the Mana gers, show that the date trees used t be leased and the revenue derived therefrom by the temple. In the Inam Settlement Register, under the head — "Revenue enjoyed by the Dept

S. R. File no 209/02 Sent to

Mysore Govt., Gen. & Rev. Secretariat

Branch

Received no 7612/64 dt 27/8/03

File No. (if any) and its date—

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read: The marginally noted letters from the Crown Commissioner in response submitting the records of enquiry into the claims to Crown Revenue of the Inams noted ~~against~~ in the margin

Order: No 158 Ex 716-01 Dated 20/8/03

1. In every case read above the Inquiry Officer Mr B. J. Kumaraswamy Naidu R-A records the findings that the claimants are entitled to 1/5th of tree-rent up to 98-99 and that they are entitled to full tree rent for the succeeding years.

2. Government regret to observe that the decision is incorrect and the enquiry is incomplete the first portion of the decision being under Rule 4 of Govt. Pw. Nos 2074-83 R.T. 166-92

Gildenahalli village, Anekal T.
Garibalya village, Nelamangala T.
Arakeshalli, Kasaba hobli, Kolar T.
Kolhalli do T.
Arasanahalli, Koramahalli, do T.
Kalkunte hobli, Kolar T.
Hagalpura, Doddaballapur T.
Chikanahalli, do T.
Kumaradhara, Anekal T.

X. letter no. 5662/364 on the claim to Crown revenue of

6995/469
6968/336
4965/333
5001/339
5757/357
5749/357
5696/352
5663/365

12-8-03

03827

16.VIII.03

Issued 21/8/03

Dated 2nd May 1901 ~~only~~ 1/5 th of Grove Farm Rent towards tree-rent up to 96-97 alone ought to have been awarded

3. The needful information for the second finding of the investigating officer, which falls under Rules 8 and 17 of the Prv. quoted above, was not before him; and hence it is a decision based on no evidence

4. The Excise Commissioner states he concurs with the investigating officer but awards 1/5 th of Grove Farm Rent only under Rule 4 without rejecting the other finding of the latter

5. The decision of the Inquiry being thus defective Govt